

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/083,476	Applicant(s) PIASIO ET AL.
	Examiner S. Devi, Ph.D.	Art Unit 1645

All Participants: **Status of Application:** _____

(1) S. Devi (PTO). (3) _____.

(2) attorney Beth Arnold. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 4 January 2010

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
- Video Conference
- Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Claim 22

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed:

The allowable subject matter and the acceptable claim language were conveyed to attorney Beth Arnold. The need for an Examiner's amendment was indicated. Attorney Arnold authorized the Examiner of record to issue an Examiner's amendment and allow claim 22.

/S. Devi/
Primary Examiner
AU 1645